Commonwealth of Massachusetts Executive Office of Environmental Affairs ■ MEPA Office

ENF

EnvironmentalNotification Form

E Off U Oulu	
For Office Use Only	
Executive Office of Environmental Affairs	
EOEA No.: 13035 ,	

MEPA Analyst Nick ZAUBLAS Phone: 617-626- 1030

The information requested on this form must be completed to begin MEPA Review in accordance with the provisions of the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act, 301 CMR 11.00.

Project Name: Steven R. Kennie					
Waterfront access over a vegetated Coastal Bank					
Street: 10 Wheatfield Lane					
Municipality: West Dennis, Mass.	Watershed: Bass River				
Universal Tranverse Mercator Coordinates:	Latitude: 41° 40' 22" N				
	Longitude:70° 10' 07" W				
	Estimated completion date: January, 2004				
Approximate cost: \$40,000 (total project)	Status of project design: 100 %complete				
Proponent: Steven R. Kennie					
Street: 10 Wheatfield Lane					
Municipality: West Dennis	State: MA Zip Code: 02670				
Name of Contact Person From Whom Copie	s of this ENF May Be Obtained:				
Joseph M. Forns, Sr. Scientist					
Firm/Agency: Applied Marine Ecology Lab	Street: 25 Greengate Road				
Municipality: Falmouth	State: MA Zip Code: 02540				
Phone: (508) 540-4544 Fax: (5	08) 540-6070 E-mail:				
Has any project on this site been filed with MEF	Yes				
Is this an Expanded ENF (see 301 CMR 11.05(7)) requal Single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8)) a Special Review Procedure? (see 301 CMR 11.09) a Waiver of mandatory EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.11) a Phase I Waiver? (see 301 CMR 11.11)	☐Yes ☒No ☐Yes ☒No ☒Yes ☐No ☒Yes ☐No				
Identify any financial assistance or land transfer from an agency of the Commonwealth, including the agency name and the amount of funding or land area (in acres): N/A					
Are you requesting coordinated review with any other federal, state, regional, or local agency? ☑Yes MA/DEP Wetlands & Waterways Regulation Program and US Army COE- Regulatory Branch ☐No					
List Local or Federal Permits and Approvals: S Army COE General Permit	uperseding Order of Conditions; Ch. 91 License; US				

Which ENF or EIR review threshold(s) does the project meet or exceed (see 301 CMR 11.03):					
☐ Land ☐ Water ☐ Energy ☐ ACEC	☐ Rare Spec ☐ Wastewate ☐ Air ☐ Regulation	er 🗌	Transportat Solid & Haz	zardous Waste Archaeological	
Summary of Project Size	Existing	Change	Total	State Permits &	
& Environmental Impacts				Approvals	
	LAND			Order of Conditions	
Total site acreage		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		Superseding Order of Conditions	
New acres of land altered				Chapter 91 License	
Acres of impervious area	n/a	n/a	n/a	☐ 401 Water Quality	
Square feet of new bordering vegetated wetlands alteration		n/a		Certification MHD or MDC Access Permit	
Square feet of new other wetland alteration		144		☐ Water Management_ Act Permit	
Acres of new non-water dependent use of tidelands or waterways		n/a			
STF	RUCTURES			Extension Permit	
Gross square footage	0	144	144	Other Permits	
Number of housing units	1	0	1	(including Legislative Approvals) — Specify:	
Maximum height (in feet)	n/a	+8 ft (MLW)	+8 ft (MLW)	Approvais) Opecity.	
TRANS	SPORTATION				
Vehicle trips per day (residence)	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Parking spaces	n/a	n/a	n/a		
WATER/WASTEWATER					
Gallons/day (GPD) of water use (residence)	n/a	n/a	n/a		
GPD water withdrawal	n/a	n/a	n/a		
GPD wastewater generation/ treatment	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Length of water/sewer mains (in miles)	n/a	n/a	n/a		
CONSERVATION LAND: Will the p natural resources to any purpose no Yes (Specify Will it involve the release of any cor restriction, or watershed preservatio	t in accordance v	with Article 971)	? ⊠No	·	

Yes (Specify	_)	⊠No
RARE SPECIES: Does the project site include Estimated Hare Species, or Exemplary Natural Communities?		·
Yes (Specify)	⊠No
HISTORICAL /ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES: Does the	ne nro	iect site include any structure, site or district
listed in the State Register of Historic Place or the inventory	of Hi	storic and Archaeological Access as the
Commonwealth?	OFF	storic and Archaeological Assets of the
☐Yes (Specify	_)	⊠No
If yes, does the project involve any demolition or destruction archaeological resources?	of ar	ny listed or inventoried historic or
☐Yes (Specify)	□No
AREAS OF CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERN: Is the	30 pro	signation and describe and the second second
Environmental Concern?	ie pro	nject in or adjacent to an Area of Critical
☐Yes (Specify)	⊠No
PROJECT DESCRIPTION:		

(a) Site Description

The proponent, Steven R. Kennie, has an existing authorized seasonal float system that is moored and used to dock a recreational power boat near Grand Cove area of Bass River in the Town of Dennis, Mass. He has applied to construct, retain and maintain an aluminum gangway (36' x 4') extending over a Coastal Bank, the subject of this ENF filing; a three-bent, pile supported aluminum gangway pier (82' x 4') extending over Salt Marsh, Coastal Beach and Land Under Ocean with accompanying aluminum ramp (24' x 4') extending to the existing float system. The gangway pier system will be suspended approximately 5-ft. above any resource areas at elevation +8.3'MLW. The initial shore side pile bent will be located at the base of the Coastal Bank, above MHW, the second bent will be within unvegetated Coastal Beach and the outward bent will be in unvegetated Land Under Ocean. Piles will be epoxy-coated steel, non-leaching polycarbonate or "greenheart" timber. The existing float system is moored with Sea-Flex cords to cross helix anchors under each end of the floats. Water depth at the float system is -3.5' MLW.

The project, as proposed was denied by the Dennis Conservation Commission (DEP File No. SE 16-1460) on December 6, 2002 and appealed to the MA/DEP for a Superseding Order of Conditions. It should be noted that the Commission found no findings of adverse impacts to the Coastal Bank resource area in their Denial Order. However, the project does involve a minor "alteration of a coastal bank resource area" and the proponent was instructed to file an ENF under 301 CMR 11.03(3) (b) a. For the purposes of this ENF review, all resource areas have been identified and described in order to evaluate the proposed project as it may affect regulations and policies contained in the Mass. Wetlands Protection Act (MWPA); (MGL c. 131 §40), Mass. Wetlands & Waterways Regulation Program (310 CMR 9.11), US Army COE- Massachusetts Programmatic General Permit (33 CFR Part 325.5 (c) (3) and the Dennis Wetlands Bylaw (DWB); (Article XV, amended 10-23-00), Dennis Conservation Commission Rules and Regulations (1-31-89) including Section 2- Performance Standards and Rules and Regulations Governing Walkways, Docks and Piers.

Resource areas evaluated included the following:

Coastal Bank	310 CMR §10.30
Salt Marsh	310 CMR §10.32
Coastal Beach	310 CMR §10.29
Land Under Ocean	310 CMR §10.25
Land Containing Shellfish	310 CMR §10.34

Two plans describe the site. SP-1 shows the overall property located within the wetlands and delineates individual resource areas with the existing float and proposed structures. SP-2 provides resource area detail for the immediate vicinity of the existing and proposed structures.

Coastal Bank (310 CMR §10.30)

The proponent's total area of Coastal Bank occupies 7,440 ft² of his residential premises. The proposed gangway ramp will traverse 36 linear feet at a width of 4 feet. As this walkway ramp will be suspended over the existing vegetated cover of the bank, the 144 ft² area is approximately 1.9% of the entire coastal bank resource area and will have no significant impact on this resource area. Presently, this is a stable and vegetated coastal bank that provides protection from storm damage and is not a significant source of sand to nearby coastal beaches. Using the proposed elevated ramp way for pedestrian passage will protect the bank from future scour and potential erosion. Other than the minimal area (< 2 ft²) for pile supports, the proposed design provides no barriers to natural coastal processes. Once completed, there will be no disturbance to this coastal bank resource area.

Assuming a presumption of significance to storm damage prevention, this coastal bank provides a vertical buffer, Sec. 10.30(6) applies. The proposed design having only 2-12" diameter pile and a suspended gangway access ramp will not impact the stability of the bank. As this vegetated bank is not a source of sediments to coastal beaches, Sec. 10.30 (3-5) do not apply.

Salt Marsh (310 CMR §10.32)

The total area of Salt Marsh occupies 21,358 ft² of the proponent's premises. The initial section of the proposed gangway pier will traverse 46 linear feet at a width of 4 feet. This structure will be suspended approximately 5 ft. above the marsh and there are no pile supports in the marsh. The 184 ft² over the marsh represents 0.8% of this resource area. Historically, elevated walkways such as the one proposed have no significant impact on the underlying salt marsh structure and function. At this location, there are healthy bands of $\underline{S.\ alternaflora}$ along the seaward side and $\underline{S.\ patens}$ extending landward to the coastal bank. With regard to interests of the MWPA and DWB, the structure as proposed will not adversely impact any marine fisheries, wildlife habitat or shellfish resources. Two shellfish surveys at and near the proposed location found no significant numbers of shellfish within the immediate salt marsh habitat. A minimal number of oysters ($<0.05/\ ft²$) were observed along the seaward edge of the marsh. The proposed elevated gangway pier will not adversely affect growth, composition or distribution of shellfish in this portion of salt marsh area. Further, as there are no piles within the salt marsh, there will be no measurable change to flow or level of tidal flushing or changes in groundwater conditions.

Assuming a presumption of significance to the protection of marine fisheries, pollution prevention and storm damage prevention Sec. 10.32 3-5 may apply. The proposed elevated walkway